Australian Child Maltreatment Study: Symposium background

Australian Institute of Family Studies Conference June 2022

Professor Ben Mathews Queensland University of Technology

<u>b.mathews@qut.edu.au</u> or <u>acms@qut.edu.au</u>

ACNS Australian <u>I</u> Child Maltreatment Study

ralian <u>https://www.australianchildmaltreatmentstudy.org/</u>

Acknowledgement of Country

We acknowledge the Traditional Owners of the land, the Wurundjeri people, on which Melbourne **Convention and Exhibition** Centre is built. We pay **our respects to their** Elders, past and present.



ifeline 131114



On Behalf of the ACMS team

- Prof Ben Mathews (QUT)
- Prof Rosana Pacella (Greenwich University)
- Prof Michael Dunne (QUT)
- Prof James Scott (QIMR Berghofer)
- Prof Daryl Higgins (ACU)
- Dr Hannah Thomas (UQ)
- Dr Holly Erskine (UQ)
- Dr Franziska Meinck (University of Edinburgh)
- Prof David Finkelhor (University Of New Hampshire)
- Prof David Lawrence (Curtin)



Dr Eva Malacova (Statistician)









Professor Professor James Rosana Pacella Scott

Professor David Finkelhor







Dr Franziska Meinck

Professor Darvl Dr Holly Erskine

Dr Hannah Thomas









rofessor David	Professor]
awrence	Michael Dunne	
d more	Read more	

Higgins

Dr Eva Malacova Dr Divna Haslam





ACNS Australian Child Maltreatment Study



















Australian Institute of Criminology



PROJECT GRANT 1158750 The contents of this presentation are solely the responsibility of QUT and do not reflect the views of the NHMRC

Brief background: Why the ACMS is needed

Australia has no reliable evidence at the population level about:

- 1. Prevalence of each type of child abuse and neglect
 - Physical abuse
 - Sexual abuse
 - Emotional abuse
 - Neglect
 - Exposure to domestic violence
- 2. Characteristics of each type of abuse and neglect
 - Age of onset
 - Age of cessation
 - Gender
 - Sub-types
 - Who inflicts

3. Associated mental disorders, physical health outcomes, and other adverse effects





Lack of this evidence impedes evidence-based, targeted public health approaches to:

- prevent child abuse and neglect
- reduce associated mental and physical health conditions
- reduce other adverse outcomes
- reduce economic cost to the nation

Reliable evidence can inform targeted prevention policy and responses

ACMS provides this evidence to inform national public health and child safety policy (Prime Minister's speech, 22 October 2020)



ACMS: Aims

The first comprehensive study of:

- 1. The **prevalence** of each form of maltreatment (and multi-type abuse)
- 2. The **characteristics** of these experiences (e.g., child age, sex, timing, frequency, relationship to person inflicting abuse: specific risk profiles)
- 3. Key mental and physical health outcomes through the lifespan
- 4. Burden of disease associated with maltreatment (and other health utilisation outcomes)







NHMRC Project Grant 1158750 2019-2023 (2.3 million)

Study Design

- Informed by global systematic review and analysis*
- Nationwide cross-sectional survey
- Computer-assisted telephone interviews (CATI)
- Approx. **8503** participants aged 16 and over > ~3500 adolescents/young adults aged 16-24 \geq 1000 adults in each of the following strata: □ 25-34, 35-44, 45-54, 55-64, >65
- Enables measurement of health through life

Open access

BMJ Open The Australian Child Maltreatment Study (ACMS): protocol for a national survey of the prevalence of child abuse and neglect, associated mental disorders and physical health problems, and burden of disease

> Ben Mathews O, ^{1,2,3} Rosana Pacella O, ⁴ Michael Dunne, ³ James Scott, ⁵ David Finkelhor,⁶ Franziska Meinck,⁷ Daryl J Higgins,⁸ Holly Erskine,⁹ Hannah J Thomas,¹⁰ Divna Haslam,¹ Nam Tran,¹¹ Ha Le,¹ Nikki Honey,¹² Karen Kellard.¹² David Lawrence ³

To cite: Mathews B. Pacella R. **ABSTRACI** Ounne M. et al. The Australian Introduction Child maltreatment (physical abuse, child Maltreatment Study sexual abuse, emotional abuse, neglect and exposure to ACMS): protocol for a national domestic violence) is widely understood to be associated urvey of the prevalence with multiple mental health disorders, physical health f child abuse and neglect problems and health risk behaviours throughout life. issociated mental disorders and However, Australia lacks fundamental evidence about the hysical health problems, and prevalence and characteristics of child maltreatment, its ourden of disease. BMJ Open 021:11:e047074. doi:10.1136/ associations with mental disorders and physical health. bmiopen-2020-047074 and the associated burden of disease. These evidence gaps impede the development of public health strategies Prepublication history and to better prevent and respond to child maltreatment. additional supplemental material The aims of this research are to generate the first or this paper are available comprehensive population-based national data on the online. To view these files. nlease visit the journal online prevalence of child maltreatment in Australia, identify (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ associations with mental disorders and physical health bmjopen-2020-047074). conditions and other adverse consequences, estimate attributable burden of disease and indicate targeted areas Received 18 November 2020 for future optimal public health prevention strategies. Revised 30 March 2021 Methods and analysis The Australian Child Maltreatment Accented 20 April 2021 Study (ACMS) is a nationwide, cross-sectional study of Australia's population aged 16 years and over. A survey of approximately 10 000 Australians will capture retrospective self-reported data on the experience in childhood of all five types of maltreatment (physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional abuse, neglect and exposure to domestic violence). A customised, multimodule survey instrument Check for updates has been designed to obtain information including: the C Author(s) (or their prevalence and characteristics of these experiences: employer(s)) 2021. Re-use diagnostic screening of common mental health disorders permitted under CC BY-NC. No physical health; health risk behaviours and health service commercial re-use. See rights utilisation. The survey will be administered in Marchand permissions. Published by November 2021 to a random sample of the nationwide population, recruited through mobile phone numbers. For numbered affiliations see Participants will be surveyed using computer-assisted telephone interviews, conducted by trained interviewers from the Social Research Centre, an agency with Correspondence to Professor Ben Mathews extensive experience in studies of health and adversity b.mathews@gut.edu.au Rigorous protocols protect the safety of both participants

Strengths and limitations of this stud

- This is the first Australian study of prevalence of all five forms of child maltreatmen (physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional abuse neglect and exposure to domestic violence), and the co-occurrence of different types (multitype maltreatment
- The study also measures associations between chil maltreatment and mental disorders, physical healt and health risk behaviours that occur throughout life, burden of disease attributable to all forms of child maltreatment and how multitype maltreatme influences overall burden of disease
- The study is internationally significant through it use of a comprehensive, rigorously designed and tested survey instrument to ob about the prevalence of all forms of child maltreat ment and associations with health problems and risk behaviours, and enables comp experiences over different historical eras
- The study captures further nuanced information about high-risk profiles and the contextual charac teristics of maltreatment, to inform future targete public health interventions aimed at reducing mal treatment and its adverse health, behavioural an social consequences
- While the study involves a representative randor sample of the population aged 16 years and over some subpopulations may be under-represented including those who are homeless or living institutions

and interviewers, and comply with all ethical and legal requirements. Analysis will include descriptive statistics reporting the prevalence of individual and multitype child maltreatment, multiple logistic and linear regression analyses to determine associations with mental disorder

BMJ

end of article.

Mathews B, et al. BMJ Open 2021;11:e047074. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-04707



* Mathews, B., Pacella, R., Dunne, M., Simunovic, M., & Marston, C. (2020). Improving measurement of child abuse and neglect: a systematic review and analysis of national prevalence studies. PLoS ONE 15(1): e0227884. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227884</u> Maltreatment Mathews B, Pacella R, Dunne M, Scott J, Finkelhor D, Meinck F, Higgins DJ, Erskine H, Thomas HJ, Haslam D, Tran N, Le H, Honey N, Kellard K, Lawrence D. (2021) <u>The Australian Child Maltreatment Study (ACMS): proceedings and theorem and theor</u> and physical health problems, and burden of disease. BMJ Open. 11:11(5):e047074. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-047074.

Survey instrument

The JVQ-R2: Adapted Version (Australian Child Maltreatment Study)

Extensive design and testing process. Range of topics covered include:

- Demographics age; gender (self-described; 15 response codes); sexuality (self-described; 8 response codes); ethnicity (birth country: participant + parents; Aboriginal / Torres Strait Islander origin); OOH care; education; employment; income; residence (current + childhood)
- Maltreatment (all 5 types x multiple dimensions)
- Adverse childhood experiences (8 items: parent/family trauma)
- Peer bullying (physical, verbal, relational, online); sibling violence
- Criminal justice involvement (arrested, convicted, imprisoned)
- Mental health
- Physical health
- Health risk behaviours
- Service use





Five sections on experiences of child maltreatment (each of the 5 types)

20 screener questions in total: different dimensions of each maltreatment type: Y/N

- 1. Physical abuse: 2 (+ 1 on corporal punishment): 3
- 2. Sexual abuse: 5 (+ 2 on internet victimisation): 7
- 3. Emotional abuse: 3
- 4. Neglect: 3

Ν

5. Exposure to domestic violence: 4

Follow-up questions:

- frequency (number of times; or duration over time)
- ➤ age of onset and cessation
- relationship with person(s) who did the acts
- institutional physical and sexual abuse + disclosure (4 follow-ups)







Scientific Outputs

Mathews, B., MacMillan, H. L., Meinck, F., Finkelhor, D., Haslam, D., Tonmyr, L., Gonzalez, A., Afifi, T. O., Scott, J. G., Pacella, R. E., Higgins, D., Thomas, H., Collin-Vézina, D., & Walsh, K. (2022). The ethics of child maltreatment surveys in relation to participant distress: Implications of social science evidence, ethical guidelines, and law. Child Abuse & Neglect. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2021.105424</u>.

Mathews, B (In Press) <u>Legal duties of researchers to protect participants in child</u> <u>maltreatment surveys: Advancing legal epidemiology.</u> *University of New South Wales Law Journal*.

Mathews B, Pacella R, Dunne M, Scott J, Finkelhor D, Meinck F, Higgins DJ, Erskine H, Thoma HJ, Haslam D, Tran N, Le H, Honey N, Kellard K, Lawrence D. (2021) <u>The Australian Child</u> <u>Maltreatment Study (ACMS): protocol for a national survey of the prevalence of child abuse</u> <u>and neglect, associated mental disorders and physical health problems, and burden of</u> <u>disease.</u> BMJ Open. 11:11(5):e047074. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-047074.



BMJ Open The Australian Child Maltreatment

The ethics of child maltreatment surveys in relation to participant distress: Implications of social science evidence, ethical guidelines, and law

Ben Mathews^{a,b,c}, Harriet L. MacMillan^{1,4}, Franziska Meinck^{d,e}, David Finkelhor^f, Divna Haslam^{3,6}, Lil Tonmyr¹, Andrea Gonzalez¹, Tracie O. Affi^{1,1,7}, James G. Scott^{1,4}, Rosana E. Pacella^m, Daryl J. Higginsⁿ, Hannah Thomas^{b,1}, Delphine Collin-Vézina³, Kerryann Walsh¹⁰

 Assochation Come for Istabili Lane Research, School of Lane, Qeneminal Distorsivy of Technology (QET), Assochati Parametia of Paynosis of Paynosis, Canada Santa San

ARTICLEINFO ABSTRACT

Keywords Child materiatment Violence against children Surveys Research ethics Responses to research participation Distress Tapleoniopical surveys mesuring the prevances of child matterammet graventee scentral knowledge that is required to embance human rights promote generative equality, and reduce child abase and neglect and its effects. We, evidence suggests institutional Beview Routh (Rillo may or grave high directory of the strength of the strength of the strength of the matter strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the during of meta-time participants directors associated with these randoms and during is participant discharged. Assessment by Bills of the ethics of such research matter and child and the strength of the strength of the strength of the strength during is a strength discharged. Assessment by Bills of the ethics of such research matter and child and be strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the during strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the strength discharged by the strength of the

* Corresponding author at: Australian Centre for Health Law Research, School of Law, Queensland University of Technology (QUT), Australia. E-mail address: h.mathews@qut.edu.au (B. Mathews).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.doi.0211.100424 Recrited 11.4 August 2021. Recreted in readout form 10 Sovember 2021; Accepted 29 November 2021 Available online 6 December 2021 0149-2134/0-2021. The Andron - Dahlabed by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BV:NC-ND locen



This symposium: Youth focus (N =3500)

- The national prevalence of self harm in adolescence and associations with child maltreatment
- Associations between child neglect and depression
- The national prevalence of childhood corporal punishment and associated mental health outcomes
- Associations between childhood exposure to domestic violence and associated outcomes



The national prevalence of non-suicidal self injury in adolescents, and associations with child maltreatment

Australian Institute of Family Studies Conference June 2022

Professor James Scott QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute

james.scott@qimrberghofer.edu.au

https://www.australianchildmaltreatmentstudy.org/



Non-suicidal self injury (NSSI)

Definition: Non-suicidal self-injury (NSSI) is the deliberate damaging of one's own body in the absence of any intent to die. ¹

Prior Australian prevalence LSAC sample of 11-15 & 16-17 year olds

- 30.1% reported <u>thoughts</u> of self-injury (either age)
- 17.8% reported <u>acts</u> of self-injury (either age)



¹Nock, M. K. (Ed.). (2009). *Understanding nonsuicidal self-injury: Origins, assessment, and treatment*. American Psychological Association. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/11875-000</u>

Aims

- Identify <u>lifetime</u> prevalence of rates of NSSI in Australian adolescents (16-24 years)
- Examine associations between NSSI and 5 types of child maltreatment.
- Examine differences in associations by gender.



















Measures

Non-suicidal Self Injury

Based on National Adolescent Mental Health Survey (lifetime NSSI)¹

Have you <u>ever</u> deliberately harmed or injured yourself, without intending to end your own life?

Child Maltreatment

Juvenile Victimization Questionnaire R2 (Australian Child Maltreatment Study)²

- \odot Sexual abuse
- \odot Physical abuse
- \circ Emotional abuse
- $\circ \text{Neglect}$
- Exposure to domestic violence



Non-suicidal self injury is common in Australian youth



of young people 16-24 experience NSSI

95% CI= 28.8- 32.2%



Females more likely to report NSSI 39.50% 20% of males 16-24 of females 16-24

experience NSSI

of females 16-24 experience NSSI



95% CI= 36.9- 42.1%

95% CI= 17.9- 22.1%

Associations between NSSI & specific types of child maltreatment

Abuse type	Sample	Unadjusted ORs	Adjusted OR	Full Adjustment
Sexual	Females	5.9 (4.6-7.5)	4.0 (3.1-5.2)	-
	Males	3.7 (2.6-5.1)	2.4 (1.7-3.5)	
Physical	Females	4.0 (3.1-5.1)	1.8 (1.3-2.4)	
	Males	3.2 (2.4-4.2)	1.7 (1.2-2.5)	
Emotional	Females	3.8 (3.0-4.8)	1.8 (1.3-2.4)	-
	Males	3.9 (2.9-5.1)	2.0 (1.4-2.9)	-



Associations between NSSI & specific types of child maltreatment

Abuse type	Sample	Unadjusted ORs	Adjusted OR*	Full Adjustment
Neglect	Females	4.2 (3.0-5.9)	1.5 (1.0-2.3)	Borderline
	Males	3.2 (2.1-4.9)	1.4 (0.8-2.4)	Nonsignificant
Exposure DV	Females	3.1 (2.5-3.9)	1.4 (1.0-1.8)	Borderline
	Males	2.7 (2.1-3.6)	1.5 (1.1-2.0)	Borderline



Take home messages

- 30.5 % of Australian youth report NSSI
- Girls are twice as likely to report NSSI than boys (39.5%v 20%)
- Associations between sexual, emotional and physical abuse and NSSI exist even after controlling for other types of child maltreatment
- Associations between neglect and EDV more driven but other experiences of child maltreatment
- Findings confirm previous associations but build on work by showing the influence of other types of child maltreatment





Change is needed

Girls with a history of child sexual abuse 4x more likely to engage in NSSI



even AFTER adjusting for other child abuse and neglect

Associations between child neglect and depression: findings from the ACMS

Australian Institute of Family Studies Conference June 2022

Professor Ben Mathews Queensland University of Technology <u>b.mathews@qut.edu.au</u> or <u>acms@qut.edu.au</u> <u>https://www.australianchildmaltreatmentstudy.org/</u>



Neglect is the failure by a parent or caregiver to provide the child with the basic necessities of life, as suited to the child's developmental stage, and as recognised by the child's cultural context.





5 Aspects of Neglect





Measures

Child Maltreatment

Juvenile Victimization Questionnaire R2 (Australian Child Maltreatment Study)²

- 3 Aspects of neglect (single item each)
- Environmental neglect
- Nutritional and physical neglect
- Medical neglect

(at least weeks required) ACMS

Major Depressive Disorder (LT)

Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I)

Diagnostic measure based on DSMV criteria for Major Depressive Disorder MDD (Lifetime)

Administered via telephone interview and CATI

Associations between any neglect & MDD

Sample	Unadjusted ORs	Adjusted OR*	Change
Female	1.5 (1.0-2.1)	1.0 (0.7-1.4)	Nonsignificant
Male	2.6 (1.6-4.1)	1.9 (1.2-3.1)	



Associations between environmental neglect (only) & MDD

Sample	Unadjusted ORs	Adjusted OR*	Full Adjustment
Female	2.3 (1.5-3.7)	1.7 (1.1-2.7)	-
Male	2.0 (1.1-3.7)	1.5 (0.8-2.7)	Nonsignificant



Associations between physical & nutritional neglect (only) & MDD

Sample	Unadjusted ORs	Adjusted OR*	Full Adjustment
Female	1.4 (0.8-2.4)ns	1.0 (0.6-1.7)	Nonsignificant
Male	1.9 (1.0-3.7)	1.3 (0.7-2.7)	Nonsignificant



Associations between medical neglect (only) & MDD

Sample	Unadjusted ORs	Adjusted OR*	Full Adjustment
Female	1.1 (0.7-1.7) ns	0.7 (0.5-1.2)	Nonsignificant
Male	2.9 (1.4-5.8)	2.2 (1.1-4.4)	



Neglect increases the odds of Major Depression



Young males with a history of neglect are **1.9x** more likely to experience MDD

even AFTER adjusting for other child abuse and neglect



Discussion

- Experiencing childhood neglect (any type) is associated with MDD for males even after adjusting for other types of maltreatment
- Gender shows a differential pattern (environmental neglect associated with increased odds of MDD in females but not males (after adjusting for other maltreatment)
- Strength of associations likely impacted by other maltreatment experienced.



Take home messages

- Strength of association among single types of neglect appear related to other types of maltreatment
- Reducing neglect may be related to decreased rates of MDD in adolescents who do not experience other types of maltreatment
- Policy and practice must focus on more than just neglect


The national prevalence of childhood corporal punishment and associated mental health outcomes

Australian Institute of Family Studies Conference June 2022

Professor Daryl Higgins Director of the Institute of Child Protection Studies, Australian Catholic University



daryl.higgins@acu.edu.au @higginsdaryl

ACMS Australian https://www.australianchildmaltreatmentstudy.org/ Maltreatment Study

What is corporal punishment?

Administration, by a parent or caregiver, of physical force to a child, with the intention to cause pain but not injury, for the purpose of correction or behavioural control.





Gershoff & Grogan-Kaylor, 2016; Sege, Siegel, et al., 2018

Aims

- Identify the prevalence of corporal punishment in youth 16-24 years & gender differences
- Examine perceptions of <u>the necessity</u> of corporal punishment by age group
- Examine associations between experiencing CP (>3 times) and mental health diagnoses (Major Depressive Disorder & Generalised Anxiety Disorder)



Measures

Corporal Punishment

Juvenile Victimization Questionnaire R2 (Australian Child Maltreatment Study)²

- Single Screener (Frequency cut off >3 times)
- Perceived necessity of corporal punishment in raising children

Mental health disorders

Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I)

- Major Depressive Disorder MDD (Lifetime)
- Generalized Anxiety Disorder GAD (current)



Lifetime prevalence of corporal punishment in youth sample



Associations between corporal punishment and MDD

Sample	Unadjusted ORs	Adjusted OR*	Significance adjusted model
Female	1.8 (1.4-2.3)	1.3 (1.0-1.8)	Borderline
Male	1.7 (1.3-2.4)	1.4 (1.0-1.9)	Borderline



*Adjusted for all other forms of child maltreatment to account for overlap (95% confidence intervals)

Associations between corporal punishment and GAD

Sample	Unadjusted ORs	Adjusted OR*	Significance adjusted model
Female	2.1 (1.6-2.7)	1.6 (1.2-2.1)	
Male	1.6 (1.1-2.4)	1.1 (0.7-1.7)	Nonsignificant



*Adjusted for all other forms of child maltreatment to account for overlap (95% confidence intervals)

Perceptions about the need for corporal punishment are changing



Discussion

- Experience of corporal punishment in Australian youth is common (Around 61%).
- CP is only slightly more common in males than females
- CP associated with major depression & generalized anxiety disorder but driven by other maltreatment
- Belief in the need for corporal punishment is dramatically lower in younger people than older people indicating wide-spread attitudinal change



Girls who experience corporal punishment are more likely to report clinical anxiety





AFTER adjusting for other child abuse and neglect

Take home messages

- Corporal punishment places kids at greater odds of mental health diagnoses
- Children deserve violence free childhoods
- Beliefs about the need for CP are changing and this may be in opportunity for law reform



of youth (16-24y) experience corporal punishment (>3 times)



Associations between childhood exposure to domestic violence and subsequent mental health: Findings from the ACMS

Professor James Scott QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute

james.scott@qimrberghofer.edu.au

https://www.australianchildmaltreatmentstudy.org/



Exposure to domestic violence (EDV)

EDV is considered one of the five types of child maltreatment

Exposure to EDV can be particularly traumatising even if child themselves is not physically harmed

May be more common than expected & occur alongside other maltreatment



What is exposure to domestic violence

Child witnessing (seeing or hearing) acts of violence towards other family members in the child's home, typically between parents/caregivers.

The acts are typically done by (and in relation to) a parent or caregiver.

The acts may cause harm to the person, but direct harm is not required; similarly, direct harm to the child is not required.





Aims

- Examine associations between childhood exposure to domestic violence and later mental health disorders (Major Depression Disorder & Generalized Anxiety Disorder).
- Identify gender patterns
- Examine the unique association of EDV and mental health after adjusting for other experiences of child maltreatment



Measures

Exposure to domestic violence (EDV)

Juvenile Victimization Questionnaire R2 (Australian Child Maltreatment Study)

 4 items assessing aspects of physical and psychological violence within the family

(Limited to child witnessing events)

Major Depressive Disorder (Lifetime)

Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I)

- Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) Lifetime
- Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD) (Current)

Administered via telephone interview and CATI



Associations between any EDV & MDD

Sample	Unadjusted ORs	Adjusted OR*	Full Adjustment
Female	2.4 (1.9-3.1)	1.4 (1.0-1.9)	
Male	1.9 (1.4-2.5)	0.9 (0.6-1.4)	Nonsignificant



*Adjusted for all other forms of child maltreatment to account for overlap (95% confidence intervals)

Associations between any EDV & GAD

Sample	Unadjusted ORs	Adjusted OR*	Full Adjustment
Female	2.2 (1.7-2.8)	1.1 (0.8-1.6)	Nonsignificant
Male	2.4 (1.7-3.3)	0.9 (0.6-1.4)	Nonsignificant



*Adjusted for all other forms of child maltreatment to account for overlap (95% confidence intervals)

Discussion

- Childhood exposure to domestic violence associated with increased odds of MDD and GAD for both males and females
- However, after adjusting for other types of maltreatment relationships become less significant or non-significant (except females with MDD)
- EDV may occur alongside other types of child maltreatment accounting for relationships with later mental health



ifeline 131114



For more information www.AustralianChildMaltreatmentStudy.org

acms@qut.edu.au













