

ONLINE TEACHING AND LEARNING WORKSHOP PARTICIPANT FEEDBACK EVALUATION (2008)

| Series | Teaching | | | | Developing | | | |
|--|------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------------|
| Month and attendees | Feb 2008 N = 72 | | | | April 2008 N = 9 | | | |
| QUESTIONS | 1 Strongly Disagree | 2 Disagree | 3 Agree | 4 Strongly Agree | 1 Strongly Disagree | 2 Disagree | 3 Agree | 4 Strongly Agree |
| 1. I received sufficient information about the workshop before it was held | 1 1% | 6 8% | 43 60% | 22 31% | | | 4 44% | 5 56% |
| 2. The workshop room was appropriate in terms of facilities and comfort | | 3 4% | 39 39% | 30 42% | | | 1 11% | 8 89% |
| 3. The material was covered at an appropriate level of difficulty for me | 2 2.5% | 2 2.5% | 30 42% | 38 53% | | | 4 44% | 5 56% |
| 4. I had opportunities to provide ideas and comments in the workshop | 2 2.5% | 2 2.5% | 30 42% | 38 53% | | | 4 44% | 5 56% |
| 5. The workshop has given me useful strategies for my teaching | | 4 5% | 46 63% | 23 32% | | | 5 56% | 4 44% |
| 6. The length of the workshop was appropriate | | 6 8% | 45 62% | 22 30% | | | 6 67% | 3 33% |
| 7. The workshop was conducted in an interesting manner | 2 3% | 5 7% | 39 53% | 27 37% | | | 4 44% | 5 56% |
| 8. Questions were answered in the workshop to my satisfaction | Did not ask Question | | | | Did not ask Question | | | |
| 9. I would recommend this workshop to other staff members | 2 3% | 3 4% | 27 38% | 40 40% | | | 4 44% | 5 56% |

ONLINE TEACHING AND LEARNING WORKSHOP PARTICIPANT FEEDBACK EVALUATION (2008) cont.

Note: Format of Workshops has changed as of July 2008

| Series | Teaching | | | | | Developing | | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| | July 2008 N = 40 | | | | | Semester 2 2008 N = 48 | | | |
| Month and attendees | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | No Answer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| QUESTIONS | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Agree | Strongly Agree | | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| 1. I received sufficient information about the workshop before it was held | | 1 2.5% | 8 20% | 30 75% | 1 2.5% | | 11 28% | 26 65% | 11 28% |
| 2. The workshop room was appropriate in terms of facilities and comfort | | 6 15% | 14 35% | 20 50% | | | 6 13% | 19 40% | 23 48% |
| 3 The material was covered at an appropriate level of difficulty for me | | | 23 58% | 17 43% | | | 2 4% | 23 48% | 23 48% |
| 4. I had opportunities to provide ideas and comments in the workshop | | 1 3% | 8 20% | 31 78% | | | | 18 38% | 30 63% |
| 5. The workshop has given me useful strategies for my teaching | | | 18 55% | 22 55% | | | 1 2% | 26 54% | 21 44% |
| 6. The length of the workshop was appropriate | | | 21 53% | 19 48% | | | 1 2% | 24 50% | 23 48% |
| 7. The workshop was conducted in an interesting manner | | | 17 43% | 23 58% | | | 1 2% | 22 46% | 25 52% |
| 8. Questions were answered in the workshop to my satisfaction | | | 12 30% | 28 70% | | | | 16 33% | 32 67% |
| 9. I would recommend this workshop to other staff members | | | 10 25% | 28 70% | 2 5% | | 1 2% | 16 33% | 31 65% |

Sample Open-ended questions from July Online Teaching and Learning Workshops

10. What were the main strengths of the workshop?

- Catered for each participants needs
- Met individual needs
- Meeting my current needs
- Accessibility of presenter and approach.
- helpful intro
- Relevant experiential discussion
- Friendly Staff Happy To Assist.
- Again I had learnt about new aspects of the discussion board that I had not accessed before.
- hands on format
- To the point.
- hands on with PC
- Well-paced. Ran to time. Excellent.
- hands on with PCs
- use of PCs
- Good length
- Well-paced
- Knowledgeable instructor.
- relevant to my needs
- Good length
- Good instructors
- It was easy format to follow and the instruction was excellent and equally shared between participants. Instruction was based at level and at which part of the program you were doing.
- Small number (2) of participants
- Easy presentation style
- Individual attention.
- Professional manner of presenter - felt comfortable to ask anything and make mistakes

11. What aspects of the workshop, if any, could be improved?

- If I had had my unit outline ready I could have been more directed in my application of skills.
- My preparation
- More examples of materials
- More Time To Do Practical Activities
- some actual materials examples
- more materials examples
- More time for individuals to address their issues.
- some specific materials samples to work with
- we need to be able to work with the teacher/lecturer version of the software (not just the student version)
- needs to be slower in explanations and to provide opportunity to practice particular procedures
- More time for to explore each feature.

What follow-up processes or activities would be helpful for you?

- Phone contact when there are hiccups

- Phone contact
- Phone connection
- not answered
- Enormous amount of expertise among participants. Good to somehow gather this for others to access
- View learning materials - of excellent, average and poor quality
- I would like to attend follow-up sessions
- specific micro-tasks to perform to verify learning
- more material samples
- specific sample materials
- more practice
- Don't know...I guess that will arise as I become more familiar with the process and how it can aid my teaching.
- Refresher for me as needed e.g. each semester

13. Do you have any other comments?

- The presenters were very understanding of our levels of learning
- Time well spent
- Great to have the individual help at this time
- Good introduction to ACU environment
- Thank you for your support
- not answered
- Thanks for your help.
- Great session. Thanks
- Thanks
- Overall, an excellent day that solved a lot of problems and gave loads of information.
- Thanks for opportunity.